

"There are some who for varying reasons would appease Red China. They are blind to history's clear lesson, for history teaches with unmistakable emphasis that appeasement but begets new and bloodier war. It points to no single instance where this end has justified that means, where appeasement has led to more than a sham peace."

— GENERAL DOUGLAS MacARTHUR

Common Sense®

Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

!
"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1910 • FOUNDER • 1963

Issue No. 587, August, 1971

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

U.S.A. SOLD OUT CHINA

"With One Stroke of the Pen, I Disarmed Chiang Kai Shek." — General George Marshall

Betrayal of Chiang Kai Shek


By FRED FARREL

Chiang Kai Shek depended upon the U.S.A. to assist him in his battle against Chinese Communism. He should have known better; he should have known that the real headquarters of the conspiracy are in New York. The real backers of World Communism are the great Capitalists.

Why should anybody be surprised at this? Oswald Spengler expressed the truth brilliantly back in 1919: "There is no proletarian, not even a Communist, movement that has not operated in the interest of money, in the directions indicated by money, and for the time permitted by money." Marxism is the cornerstone of Capitalism. It is woven into the warp and woof of the whole structure of Western Capitalism. Why should Chiang Kai Shek have expected the Western Capitalists to defend him against Marxism? If Chiang had had the good sense to have made a deal with Stalin, he would still be in Peking, and China would not be Communist today.

Indeed, a Communist Revolution would have been impossible in China without the help of the U.S. State Department and the CFR. It is not surprising that Tricky Dickie Nixon is going to China as an errand boy for the Zionists, who really run Chinese Communism. The American Establishment has supported Communism in China for fifty years. To be sure, pious "Anti-Communist" slogans have been uttered for the benefit of the sucker trade; but American support gave the Chinese Reds their victory over Chiang Kai Shek.

For fifty years the American people believed that their government was "Anti-Communist," even while it secretly supported Marxism around the world. It is a matter of question as to which have been the worst liars: the Communists or the anti-Communists. Between them, they conspired together to keep the people in a state of confusion. They howled their ungodly lies at each other over the corpse of American patriotism. One can listen to American "Anti-Communist Crusaders" for years without hearing a word of real, uncontaminated, whole-hog truth. The tale they told is that "Workers and Peasants" have risen up in distant places like China and Russia; the contagion of "Revolution" has penetrated the U.S.A. They are always saying that the press has been penetrated, or that the Government has been penetrated, or that COMMON SENSE has been penetrated. The fact is that nothing has been "penetrated." Rather, the bosses who have the right to hire and fire, employ both Communists and anti-Communists to create public confusion. Both are fundamentally on the same payroll and serve the same purpose.



Generalissimo Chiang Kai Shek, who though deprived of arms by the U.S.A. and forced by Marshall to take Communists into his cabinet has nevertheless maintained an army of a million crack troops, ready to invade the Chinese mainland. The guns of America's 6th Fleet have prevented him from doing so. The Korean and Vietnam wars would have been over in weeks if Chiang's offer of the use of his troops had been accepted. Better to kill Americans!

When Nixon's forthcoming visit to Red China was announced, Nationalist Chinese Premier C. K. Yen said, "This could lead to a tragedy far more serious than that involved in the fall of the Chinese mainland."

In his visit to Red China, Nixon is simply carrying on the real policy which the American Establishment has followed for fifty years in secret. THE NEW YORK TIMES was full of phony stories about the forthcoming Nixon visit. The low comedy of Establishment journalism was utterly ridiculous.

One story in the Times said that Moscow received news of the coming Nixon visit with stunned surprise. That, I won't buy. Russian rulers could not possibly be surprised to learn that the American-Zionist establishment supports Chinese Communism. They knew it thirty-five years ago.

What surprises me is that Chiang Kai Shek did not see through the fraudulence of American anti-Communism long ago. How long can the American Establishment get away with the pretense of being "Anti-Communist?"

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

"The problem was how to allow (China) to fall without making it look as if the United States had pushed them."

Owen Lattimore, U.S. Far Eastern Expert in pro-Communist paper Sunday Compass, July 17, 1949.

End of the Line for Zionism

Recently a friend showed up at our office with a book under his arm. The book was DAVID by William Hoffman. This is a book denouncing David Rockefeller, Boss of the Chase Manhattan Bank and many other things. Denouncing the Rockefellers has long been a favorite national sport. We denounced them ourselves not long ago. The Rockefellers are fair game, and practically everybody denounces them sooner or later. They used to worry about it, at least a little, and once employed a press agent named Ivy Lee to refurbish their image. Apparently the Rockefellers have quit worrying much about it. We don't know who their press agent is now.

Since we denounced the Rockefellers recently, you might think that we would agree with William Hoffman's book DAVID. This does not necessarily make us swoon with ecstasy. We ask ourselves, "Why does this rascal tell the truth about something? What is he up to now?" We are always very suspicious when a book from the Jewish literary world of New York tells the truth about something. We assume in an automatic way that they are up to no good.

There HAS to be a reason why the Jewish literary crowd attacked the Rockefellers. We have already told you the reason in Issue No. 549 of COMMON SENSE, dated Nov. 15, 1969. The article was **WORLD OIL AND ANTI-SEMITISM**. The Rockefellers and the Zionists, after being great pals for quite a while, have split over the Middle East issue. To the Rockefellers, the idea of losing the vast riches of Middle East oil is not amusing. Their companies, Aramco and Caltex, sit atop sixty per cent of the world's proven petroleum reserves. The Soviet Union eyes this staggering treasure greedily. The June War of 1967 enabled the Soviet Union to get a foot into the Middle East door. Many Americans now consider the Middle East as good as lost. They foresee a future crisis in which Europe's necessary reserves of fossil fuel will fall under the control of the USSR and Europe itself will fall within the Soviet orbit of influence. Soviet policy in the Middle East is smart. It is not "Marxist" policy. It might have been made by Clausewitz, Talleyrand, or Metternich, but never by Karl Marx. You will never understand present-day Soviet policy if you persist in trying to think of it in terms of "Communism," or associating it with the ungodly asses of the American Left.

The Soviet Union is making a bold bid for WORLD POWER. They will not willingly share this power with Zionists or with the American "One World" crowd. The rules of the POWER GAME have remained basically unchanged for ages. Nobody shares power

(Continued on Page 2, Column 2)